

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 14 2006

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 200207083-1

Inventor(s): Blaine Stackhouse et al.

Confirmation No.: 6873

Application No.: 10/600,875

Examiner: Dang T Nguyen

Filing Date: Jun. 20, 2003

Group Art Unit: 2824

Title: Bias Generation Having Adjustable Range and Resolution Through Metal Programming

Mail Stop After Final
Commissioner For Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

- ☐ Response/Amendment
☐ New fee as calculated below
☒ No additional fee
☒ Other Interview Summary under 37 CFR 1.133
☐ Petition to extend time to respond
☐ Supplemental Declaration

Fees\$

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY						
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS	27	MINUS	27	= 0	X \$50	\$ 0
INDEP. CLAIMS	7	MINUS	7	= 0	X \$200	\$ 0
<input type="checkbox"/> FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM						+ \$360 \$ 0
EXTENSION FEE	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Month \$120	<input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Month \$450	<input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Month \$1020	<input type="checkbox"/> 4th Month \$1590		\$ 0
OTHER FEES						\$
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$ 0

Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

I hereby certify that this paper is being
transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office
facsimile number (571) 273-8300.
Date of facsimile: June 14, 2006

Typed Name: J. Michael Johnson

Signature: 

PAGE TOTAL: 5 PGS

Respectfully submitted,

Blaine Stackhouse et al.

By 

J. Michael Johnson

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg No. : 37,856

Date : June 14, 2006

Telephone : (775) 849-3085

Rev 10/05 (TransAm:Fax)

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 14 2006

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 200207083-1

Inventor(s): Blaine Stackhouse et al.

Confirmation No.: 6673

Application No.: 10/600,875

Examiner: Dang T Nguyen

Filing Date: Jun. 20, 2003

Group Art Unit: 2824

Title: Bias Generation Having Adjustable Range and Resolution Through Metal Programming

Mail Stop After Final
Commissioner For Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

- ☐ Response/Amendment
☐ New fee as calculated below
☒ No additional fee
☒ Other Interview Summary under 37 CFR 1.133

- ☐ Petition to extend time to respond
☐ Supplemental Declaration

Fee\$

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY						
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS	27	MINUS	27	= 0	X \$50	\$ 0
INDEP. CLAIMS	7	MINUS	7	= 0	X \$200	\$ 0
<input type="checkbox"/> FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM						+ \$360 \$ 0
EXTENSION FEE	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Month \$120	<input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Month \$450	<input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Month \$1020	<input type="checkbox"/> 4th Month \$1590		\$ 0
OTHER FEES						\$.
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$ 0

Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

I hereby certify that this paper is being
transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office
facsimile number (571) 273-8300.
Date of facsimile: June 14, 2006

Typed Name: J. Michael Johnson

Signature: 

PAGE TOTAL: 5pgs

Respectfully submitted,

Blaine Stackhouse et al.

By 

J. Michael Johnson

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg No.: 37,856

Date: June 14, 2006

Telephone: (775) 849-3085

Hewlett - Packard Company
Legal Department, M/S 35
Intellectual Property Administration
P. O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

JUN 14 2006
PATENT APPLICATION
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 200207083-1

INTERVIEW SUMMARY dated June 14, 2006

OFFICIAL

Appl. No. : 10/600,875
Applicant : Blaine Stackhouse et al.
Filed : Jun. 20, 2003
TC/A.U. : 2800/2824
Examiner : Dang T Nguyen

Confirmation No. 6673

Docket No. : 200207083-1
Customer No. : 022879

Title : Bias Generation Having Adjustable
Range and Resolution Through
Metal Programming

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY under 37 CFR 1.133

Sir:

In compliance with 37 CFR 1.133 Interviews, a summary of an interview conducted on May 15, 2006 follows. Please enter this paper in the Official Record.

A Certificate of Mailing or Transmission is provided on page 3, the last page of this document, and applies to this document as well as any attachments hereto.

Appl. No. 10/600,875

Interview Summary dated Jun. 14, 2006

Reply to Corrected Advisory Action and Examiner Interview Summary mailed 5/18/06

Interview Summary

A telephone interview was conducted on May 15, 2006 between Dang T. Nguyen (hereinafter 'Examiner'), SPE Richard Elms and the undersigned, J. Michael Johnson, Agent for Applicant. During the telephone interview, an Advisory Action mailed April 21, 2006 was discussed. Specifically, Mr. Johnson objected to the Examiner's handling of new Claims 26 and 27 presented in an after final Response/Amendment filed March 31, 2006 (hereinafter 'Amendment After Final'). The Examiner had checked item "7(b)" indicating the amendments presented in the Amendment After Final would be entered only for the purposes of appeal. The Examiner provided no explanation of how the new claims would be rejected and further provided no status for the new claims.

Mr. Johnson pointed out that new Claims 26 and 27 represent originally filed Claims 9 and 10 rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of base Claim 6 and intervening Claim 8. The Examiner had previously indicated in a Final Office Action mailed February 21, 2006 that Claims 9 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten in such an independent form. As such, Mr. Johnson submitted that the new Claims 26 and 27 should have been entered and allowed by the Examiner. Furthermore, the Examiner should have at least indicated under item "7(b)" that Claims 26 and 27 were allowed and probably should have checked item "6" of the Advisory Action form in addition to item "7(b)".

The Examiner and SPE Elms agreed that Claims 26 and 27 should have been allowed. The Examiner, under direction of SPE Elms, further agreed to issue a corrected Advisory Action that correctly indicated the entry and allowance of new Claims 26 and 27. Mr. Johnson stated that Applicant would submit a Notice of Appeal prior to receipt of the corrected Advisory Action and without reference to Claims 26 and 27 therein, in reliance on the agreement made in the Interview.

Remarks

A corrected Advisory Action was mailed 5/18/06 that updated and corrected items "6" and "7(b)" to show entry and allowance of new Claims 26 and 27. Mr. Johnson thanks the Examiner for the corrected Advisory Action. However, the corrected Advisory Action incorrectly indicates that Applicant's previous Reply (i.e.,

Appl. No. 10/600,875

Interview Summary dated Jun. 14, 2006

Reply to Corrected Advisory Action and Examiner Interview Summary mailed 5/18/06


the After Final Amendment) was filed "on 4/4/06" when in fact, the After Final Amendment was filed March 31, 2006, as indicated above. Moreover, the corrected Advisory Action incorrectly indicates that the Examiner's previous Advisory Action was "sent on 4/4/06" when in fact, the previous Advisory Action was mailed 04/21/2006. The Examiner's Interview Summary that accompanied the corrected Advisory Action is essentially correct, but for not including SPE Elms as a participant.

Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the above, please contact the undersigned, J. Michael Johnson, Agent for Applicant, at telephone number (775) 849-3085.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAINE STACKHOUSE ET AL.

By:


J. Michael Johnson
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 37,856

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.


J. Michael Johnson

6/14/06

Date

* * * * *